

## Notes on Non-interpolation Spaces

L. MALIGRANDA

*Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,  
Mielżyńskiego 27/29, 61-725 Poznań, Poland*

AND

M. MASTYŁO

*Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University,  
Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland*

*Communicated by P. L. Butzer*

Received October 24, 1985; revised November 28, 1986

Some general examples of non-interpolation pairs and spaces are presented. Necessary conditions for interpolation are established which determine the first type of examples. Constructions connected with the relative completion and a property of the  $K$ -functional provide the second class of examples. These techniques provide new information about non-interpolation of symmetric spaces. © 1989 Academic Press, Inc.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We recall some notation from interpolation theory (cf. [2, 9]).

A pair  $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$  of Banach spaces is called a *Banach couple* if  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are both continuously imbedded in some Hausdorff topological vector space  $V$ .

For a Banach couple  $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$  we can form the *intersection*  $A(\bar{A}) = A_0 \cap A_1$  and the *sum*  $\Sigma(\bar{A}) = A_0 + A_1$ . They are both Banach spaces in the natural norms

$$\|a\|_{A_0 \cap A_1} = J(1, a; A_0, A_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\|_{A_0 + A_1} = K(1, a; A_0, A_1),$$

where, for  $t > 0$ ,

$$J(t, a) = J(t, a; A_0, A_1) = \max(\|a\|_{A_0}, t \|a\|_{A_1}),$$

and

$$K(t, a) = K(t, a; A_0, A_1) = \inf \{ \|a_0\|_{A_0} + t \|a_1\|_{A_1} : \\ a = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in A_0, a_1 \in A_1 \}.$$

A Banach space  $A$  is called an *intermediate space* between  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  (or with respect to  $\bar{A}$ ) if  $A_0 \cap A_1 \subset A \subset A_0 + A_1$  with continuous inclusions. For brevity, the closure of  $A_0 \cap A_1$  in  $A$  will be denoted by  $A^0$ .

Let  $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$  and  $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$  be two Banach couples. We denote by  $L(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  the Banach space of all linear operators  $T: A_0 + A_1 \rightarrow B_0 + B_1$  such that the restriction of  $T$  to the space  $A_i$  is a bounded operator from  $A_i$  into  $B_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , with the norm

$$\|T\|_{L(\bar{A}, \bar{B})} = \max(\|T\|_{A_0 \rightarrow B_0}, \|T\|_{A_1 \rightarrow B_1}).$$

We say that two intermediate spaces  $A$  and  $B$  are called *interpolation spaces with respect to  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{B}$*  and we will write  $(A, B) \in \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  if every linear operator from  $L(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  maps  $A$  into  $B$ . It is a consequence of the closed graph theorem that then the restriction of  $T$  to  $A$  is the bounded operator from  $A$  into  $B$  and

$$\|T\|_{A \rightarrow B} \leq C \|T\|_{L(\bar{A}, \bar{B})} \quad (1)$$

for some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $T \in L(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ . If  $A$  coincides with  $B$  then  $A$  is called an *interpolation space* with respect to  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{B}$  and we write  $A \in \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ ; if, moreover,  $A_0 = B_0$  and  $A_1 = B_1$  then  $A$  is called an *interpolation space between  $A_0$  and  $A_1$*  (or with respect to  $\bar{A}$ ), and we write  $A \in \text{Int } \bar{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the set of all functions  $\varphi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi(s) \leq \max(1, s/t) \varphi(t)$  for all  $s, t > 0$ . We then define the space  $\bar{A}_{\varphi, \infty} = (A_0, A_1)_{\varphi, \infty}$  as the space of all  $a \in A_0 + A_1$  such that

$$\|a\|_{\varphi, \infty} = \sup_{t > 0} \frac{K(t, a; A_0, A_1)}{\varphi(t)}$$

is finite; if  $\varphi(t) = t^\theta$  ( $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ ) we write, in short,  $\bar{A}_{\theta, \infty}$  and  $\|a\|_{\theta, \infty}$ . We note that  $\bar{A}_{0, \infty}$  is the space of all  $a \in A_0 + A_1$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K(t, a; A_0, A_1) < \infty$ ; it can be proved that  $\bar{A}_{0, \infty}$  is a relative completion  $\tilde{A}_0$  of  $A_0$  with respect to  $A_0 + A_1$ .

The plan of the paper is as follows:

In Section 2 we discuss necessary conditions for interpolation using, among other things, the fundamental function  $\mu$ .

In Section 3 first we study interpolation spaces  $A$  and  $B$  with respect to  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{B}$ , where  $A$  is the sum  $A_0 + A_1$  (Th. 1). In Theorem 2 we give a result on non-interpolation of  $A_i$  and  $B_{1-i}$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) with respect to  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{B}$  based on considerations in [12]. In Theorem 3 we investigate when  $A_0$  or  $A_1$  is an interpolation space with respect to  $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$  and  $\bar{B} = (A_1, A_0)$ . These results contain some results of Aronszajn and Gagliardo [1].

In Section 4, the above results are applied to an important class of

symmetric spaces, in particular to Lorentz spaces. For example, Theorem 4 characterizes interpolation spaces between  $L_\infty$  and  $E_1$ .

Finally, in Section 5, we have collected various results giving  $\mu$  for symmetric spaces.

2. FUNDAMENTAL FUNCTIONS AND NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR INTERPOLATION

For a Banach space  $A$  containing  $A_0 \cap A_1 \neq \{0\}$  (or for a Banach space  $A$  contained in  $A_0 + A_1$ ) the *fundamental function*  $\mu_A(v_A)$  is given for  $t > 0$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(t) &= \mu_A(t, A_0, A_1) = \sup_{0 \neq a \in A_0 \cap A_1} \frac{\|a\|_A}{J(t^{-1}, a; A_0, A_1)} \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|_{A_0} \leq 1, \|a\|_{A_1} \leq t} \|a\|_A, \\ \left( v_A(t) = v_A(t, A_0, A_1) = \sup_{0 \neq a \in A} \frac{tK(t^{-1}, a; A_0, A_1)}{\|a\|_A} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $\mu_A, v_A \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mu_A(1)$  is the norm of imbedding  $A_0 \cap A_1$  into  $A$ , and  $v_A(1)$  is the norm of imbedding  $A$  into  $A_0 + A_1$ .

Let us investigate properties of these functions which we will need; other properties of  $\mu_A$  in the case of symmetric spaces will be considered in Section 5.

PROPOSITION 1. (a) Suppose that  $A_0 \subset A_1$ . If  $A_0$  is non-closed in  $A_1$  then  $\mu_{A_0}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ ; if  $A_0$  is closed in  $A_1$  then  $\mu_{A_0}(t) \approx \min(1, t)^1$ .

(b) If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is a non-closed subspace in both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  then  $\mu_{A_0 \cap A_1}(t) = \max(1, t)$ .

(c) If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is dense in both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  and if  $A$  is intermediate space between  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  then  $v_A(t, A_0, A_1) = \mu_{A^*}(t, A_1^*, A_0^*)$ .

*Proof.* Obviously  $\min(1, t) \mu_{A_0}(1) \leq \mu_{A_0}(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t > 0$ .

(a) If  $A_0$  is non-closed in  $A_1$  then there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset A_0$  such that  $\|a_n\|_{A_0} = 1$  and  $\|a_n\|_{A_1} \rightarrow 0$ . Hence

$$\mu_{A_0}(t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|a_n\|_{A_0}}{J(t^{-1}, a_n)} = 1.$$

<sup>1</sup>The symbol  $f(t) \approx g(t)$  means that there exist positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that  $c_1 f(t) \leq g(t) \leq c_2 f(t)$  for all  $t > 0$ .

If  $A_0$  is closed in  $A_1$  then  $\|a\|_{A_0} \leq C_1 \|a\|_{A_1}$  for each  $a \in A_0$  and so

$$\frac{\|a\|_{A_0}}{J(t^{-1}, a)} \leq \min(1, t \|a\|_{A_0} / \|a\|_{A_1}) \leq \max(1, C_1) \min(1, t).$$

(b) Since  $\|a\|_{A_0 \cap A_1} \leq \max(1, t) J(t^{-1}, a)$  it follows that  $\mu_{A_0 \cap A_1}(t) \leq \max(1, t)$ . From the assumptions there exist sequences  $\{a_n^i\} \subset A_0 \cap A_1$  such that  $\|a_n^i\|_{A_0 \cap A_1} = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n^i\|_{A_i} = 0, i = 0, 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A_0 \cap A_1}(t) &= \max(\mu_{A_0}(t), \mu_{A_1}(t)) \\ &\geq \max\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|a_n^1\|_{A_0}}{J(t^{-1}, a_n^1)}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|a_n^0\|_{A_1}}{J(t^{-1}, a_n^0)}\right) = \max(1, t). \end{aligned}$$

(c) If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is dense in both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  then  $(A_0^*, A_1^*)$  is a Banach couple and if  $A$  is an intermediate space between  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  then  $A^*$  contains  $A_0^* \cap A_1^*$ . Moreover since  $K(t^{-1}, a; A_0, A_1)$  and  $J(t, a^*; A_0^*, A_1^*)$  are dual norms (cf. [2]), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v_A(t) &= \sup_{a \in A} \frac{t}{\|a\|_A} \sup_{a^* \in A_0^* \cap A_1^*} \frac{|a^*(a)|}{J(t, a^*; A_0^*, A_1^*)} \\ &= \sup_{a^* \in A_0^* \cap A_1^*} \frac{1}{J(t^{-1}, a^*; A_1^*, A_0^*)} \sup_{a \in A} \frac{|a^*(a)|}{\|a\|_A} \\ &= \sup_{a^* \in A_0^* \cap A_1^*} \frac{\|a^*\|_{A^*}}{J(t^{-1}, a^*; A_1^*, A_0^*)} = \mu_{A^*}(t, A_1^*, A_0^*). \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is similar to Lemma 7. III in [1] and Lemma 4 in [6] (for completeness sake we give a proof).

**PROPOSITION 2 (Necessary Conditions).** *Let  $(A, B) \in \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ .*

- (a) *If  $A \notin \bar{A}_i^{A_0 + A_1}$  then  $B \supset B_{1-i}, i = 0, 1$ .*
- (b) *If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is dense in both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  then*

$$\mu_B(t, B_0, B_1) \mu_{A^*}(t, A_1^*, A_0^*) \leq Ct \tag{2}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $a \in A, a \notin \bar{A}_i^{A_0 + A_1}$ , and let  $f$  be a bounded linear functional on  $A_0 + A_1$  vanishing on  $\bar{A}_i^{A_0 + A_1}$  and  $f(a) = 1$ .

For any  $b \in B_{1-i}$  the linear operator  $Tx = f(x)b$  belongs to  $L(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ . Hence  $b = Ta \in B$  and

$$\|b\|_B = \|Ta\|_B \leq \|T\|_{A \rightarrow B} \|a\|_A \leq C \|f\|_{A_1^*} \|b\|_{B_{1-i}} \|a\|_A.$$

This proves assertion (a).

(b) We consider the one-dimensional operator  $T: A_0 + A_1 \rightarrow B_0 \cap B_1$ ,  $Ta = a^*(a)b$ , where  $a^* \in A_0^* \cap A_1^* = (A_0 + A_1)^*$  and  $b \in B_0 \cap B_1$ . We have

$$\|T\|_{A_i \rightarrow B_i} = \|b\|_{B_i} \sup_{\|a\|_{A_i} \leq 1} |a^*(a)| = \|b\|_{B_i} \|a^*\|_{A_i^*}, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

and

$$\|T\|_{A \rightarrow B} = \|b\|_B \|a^*\|_{A^*}.$$

The interpolation property implies that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|b\|_B \|a^*\|_{A^*} \leq C \max \{ \|b\|_{B_0} \|a^*\|_{A_0^*}, \|b\|_{B_1} \|a^*\|_{A_1^*} \},$$

$$\forall b \in B_0 \cap B_1, \forall a^* \in A_0^* \cap A_1^*. \quad (3)$$

Since

$$\max \{ \|b\|_{B_0} \|a^*\|_{A_0^*}, \|b\|_{B_1} \|a^*\|_{A_1^*} \} \leq tJ(t^{-1}, b; B_0, B_1) J(t^{-1}, a^*; A_1^*, A_0^*)$$

it follows from (3) by taking the supremum over all  $b \in B_0 \cap B_1$  and all  $a^* \in A_0^* \cap A_1^*$  that inequality (2) holds.

### 3. RESULTS FOR BANACH SPACES

From the definition we have  $(A_0 + A_1, B_0 + B_1) \in \text{Int}(\bar{A}_1, \bar{B})$ . We will be interested in taking a smaller space  $B$  in the place of the sum  $B_0 + B_1$ . In certain cases the next theorem determines how large  $B$  must be whenever  $(A_0 + A_1, B)$  belongs to  $\text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ . The closure of  $B_0 \cap B_1$  in  $B_i$  will be denoted by  $B_i^0$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $A_0 \neq A_1$  and  $(A_0 + A_1, B) \in \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ .*

- (a) *If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is not dense in both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  then  $B = B_0 + B_1$ .*
- (b) *If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is dense in  $A_0$  and not dense in  $A_1$  then  $B \supset B_0^0 + B_1$ .*
- (c) *If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is dense in both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  then  $B \supset B_0^0 + B_1^0$ .*

*Proof.* (a) We note that if  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is not dense in  $A_{1-i}$ , or equivalently that  $A_i$  is not dense in  $A_0 + A_1$ , then  $A_0 + A_1 \notin \overline{A_i}^{A_0 + A_1}$  and by Proposition 2(a) we have  $B \supset B_{1-i}$  ( $i=0, 1$ ). This means that  $B = B_0 + B_1$ .

(b) From the above we have  $B \supset B_1$ . Moreover, since  $(A_0 + A_1, B \cap B_0) \in \text{Int}((A_1, A_0 + A_1), (B_0 \cap B_1, B_0))$  it is sufficient to prove

$$\text{if } A_0 \subset A_1, A_0 \neq A_1, A_0 \text{ is dense in } A_1, B_0 \subset B_1 \text{ and } (A_1, B) \in \text{Int}(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) \text{ then } B \supset B_1^0 \tag{4}$$

Before the proof of (4) we note that if  $A_0$  is a proper and dense subspace of  $A_1$  then  $A_1^* \subseteq A_0^*$  and  $A_1^*$  is non-closed in  $A_0^*$ .

On the contrary, if  $A_1^*$  is closed in  $A_0^*$  then it is closed in the topology  $\sigma(A_0^*, A_0)$ . Since  $A_1^*$  is dense in  $A_0^*$  in the topology  $\sigma(A_0^*, A_0)$  we have  $A_0^* = A_1^*$  and density of  $A_0$  in  $A_1$  implies  $A_0 = A_1$ .

Now, we prove (4).

Applying Proposition 1(a), the fact that  $A_1^*$  is non-closed in  $A_0^*$ , and Proposition 2(b) we have

$$\mu_B(t, B_0, B_1) = \mu_B(t, B_0, B_1) \mu_{A_1^*}(t, A_1^*, A_0^*) \leq Ct$$

for all  $t > 0$ . Hence

$$\|b\|_B \leq C \max(t \|b\|_{B_0}, \|b\|_{B_1})$$

for each  $b \in B_0$  and all  $t > 0$ . Taking  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  we get

$$\|b\|_B \leq C \|b\|_{B_1}, \quad \forall b \in B_1.$$

Density of  $B_0$  in  $B_1^0$  implies that the above inequality holds for each  $b \in B_1^0$ . Hence  $B \supset B_1^0$ .

(c) By the same arguments as in the proof of (b) we have that  $B \supset B_0^0$  and  $B \supset B_1^0$ , and so  $B \supset B_0^0 + B_1^0$ .

From the above theorem it is easy to construct examples of non-interpolation spaces by first summing  $A_0 + A_1$  and then making  $B$  less than  $B_0^0 + B_1^0$ .

In the proof of next theorem which gives non-interpolation spaces we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that*

$$\text{there exists a sequence } \{b_n\} \subset B_0 \cap B_1 \text{ such that } \|b_n\|_{B_0 \cap B_1} = 1, \|b_n\|_{B_1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|b_n\|_B \geq C_2 \text{ for some } C_2 > 0. \tag{5}$$

If  $A \notin \tilde{A}_0$  then there exists a sequence  $\{T_n\}$  of operators such that

$$\sup_n \|T_n\|_{L(\tilde{A}, B)} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\|_{A \rightarrow B} = \infty. \quad (6)$$

*Proof* (cf. [12]). Let  $t_n^{-1} = \|b_n\|_{B_1}$ . Consider the linear operators  $T_n x = b_n f_n(x)$ , where  $f_n$  are bounded linear functionals on  $A_0 + A_1$  with

$$|f_n(x)| \leq K(t_n, x; A_0, A_1) \quad \text{and} \quad f_n(a) = K(t_n, a; A_0, A_1)$$

and  $a \in A$ ,  $\|a\|_A \leq 1$ ,  $a \notin \tilde{A}_0$ . The existence of such functionals follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem.

If  $x \in A_i$ , then by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n x\|_{B_i} &= \|b_n\|_{B_i} |f_n(x)| \leq \|b_n\|_{B_i} K(t_n, x; A_0, A_1) \\ &\leq \|b_n\|_{B_i} t_n^i \|x\|_{A_i} = \|x\|_{A_i}, \quad i = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n a\|_B &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n\|_B |f_n(a)| \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n\|_B K(t_n, a; A_0, A_1) \\ &\geq C_2 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(t_n, a; A_0, A_1) \\ &= C_2 \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K(t, a; A_0, A_1) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete,

LEMMA 2. If  $A_1 \subset \tilde{A}_{\varphi, \infty}$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)/t = 0$  then  $A_1 \subset A_0$ .

*Proof.* First method. From assumptions there exists  $C_3 > 0$  such that

$$K(t, a; A_0, A_1) \leq C_3 \varphi(t) \|a\|_{A_1}, \quad \forall a \in A_1, \forall t > 0, \quad (7)$$

and there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\varphi(t_0)/t_0 \leq 1/(4C_3)$ . By the definition of the  $K$ -functional we can write (for  $t_0$  fixed)  $a = a_1 + b_1$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_1\|_{A_0} + t_0 \|b_1\|_{A_1} &\leq 2K(t_0, a; A_0, A_1) \text{ [from assumption (7)]} \\ &\leq 2C_3 \varphi(t_0) \|a\|_{A_1} \leq \frac{t_0}{2} \|a\|_{A_1}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\|a_1\|_{A_0} \leq \frac{t_0}{2} \|a\|_{A_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|b_1\|_{A_1} \leq 2^{-1} \|a\|_{A_1}.$$

Then similarly  $b_1 = a_2 + b_2$  where

$$\|a_2\|_{A_0} \leq \frac{t_0}{2} \|b_1\|_{A_1} \leq \frac{t_0}{4} \|a\|_{A_1} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|b_2\|_{A_1} \leq 2^{-1} \|b_1\|_{A_1} \leq 2^{-2} \|a\|_{A_1}.$$

Proceeding by induction we get  $a = (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n) + b_n$  where

$$\|a_n\|_{A_0} \leq 2^{-n} t_0 \|a\|_{A_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|b_n\|_{A_1} \leq 2^{-n} \|a\|_{A_1}.$$

Since  $b_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $A_1$  and  $\sum_1^\infty a_n \in A_0$  we get  $a \in A_0$  and so  $A_1 \subset A_0$ .

*Second method* (if additionally  $\bar{A}_{\varphi, \infty} = A_0 + A_1$ ). First, we note that if  $a \in A_0^* + A_1^*$  then  $K(t, a; A_0^*, A_1^*) = K(t, a; A_0, A_1)$  and so

$$(A_0^*, A_1^*)_{\varphi, \infty} = (A_0, A_1)_{\varphi, \infty} \cap (A_0^* + A_1^*).$$

Hence, if  $\bar{A}_{\varphi, \infty} = A_0 + A_1$ , then  $(A_0^*, A_1^*)_{\varphi, \infty} = A_0^* + A_1^*$  and we may assume that  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is dense in both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ . From the fact  $(A_0 + A_1)^* = A_0^* \cap A_1^*$  and from Proposition 1(c) (under the above density assumption) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} &\geq v_{\bar{A}_{\varphi, \infty}}(t^{-1}, A_0, A_1) \approx v_{A_0 + A_1}(t^{-1}, A_0, A_1) \\ &= \mu_{(A_0 + A_1)^*}(t^{-1}, A_1^*, A_0^*) = \mu_{A_0^* \cap A_1^*}(t^{-1}, A_1^*, A_0^*). \end{aligned}$$

There are three mutually exclusive possibilities for  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ : (i)  $A_1 \subset A_0$ , (ii)  $A_0 \subset A_1$  and  $A_0 \neq A_1$ , (iii)  $A_0 \cap A_1 \neq A_0$  and  $A_0 \cap A_1 \neq A_1$ .

Assumption  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)/t = 0$  and Proposition 1(a), 1(b) give that the second and third cases are impossible. Hence  $A_1 \subset A_0$ .

From the equality  $\bar{A}_{0, \infty} = \bar{A}_0$  and Lemma 2 immediately follows the Aronszajn–Gagliardo result (see [1]; see also [9, 12, 14]): if  $\bar{A}_0 = A_0 + A_1$  then  $A_0 = A_0 + A_1$ , i.e.,  $A_1 \subset A_0$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $A_i \neq A_0 + A_1$  and  $B_0 \cap B_1$  is a non-closed subspace of  $B_{1-i}$  then  $(A_{1-i}, B_i) \notin \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  ( $i = 0$  or  $1$ ).*

*Proof for  $i = 0$ .* From the assumption there exists a sequence  $\{b_n\} \subset B_0 \cap B_1$  such that  $\|b_n\|_{B_0 \cap B_1} = 1$  and  $\|b_n\|_{B_1} \rightarrow 0$ . It follows that

$$\|b_n\|_{B_0 \cap B_1} = 1, \quad \|b_n\|_{B_1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \|b_n\|_{B_0} = 1.$$



Since  $A_0 \neq A_0 + A_1$  we have by Lemma 2 that  $A_1 \notin \tilde{A}_0$ . Applying Lemma 1 to couples  $\bar{A}, \bar{B}$  and spaces  $A = A_1, B = B_0$  we have a sequence  $\{T_n\}$  of operators such that

$$\sup_n \|T_n\|_{L(\bar{A}, \bar{B})} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\|_{A_1 \rightarrow B_0} = \infty.$$

Hence  $(A_1, B_0) \notin \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ .

Aronszajn and Gagliardo in [1] investigated when  $A_0$  or  $A_1$  belongs to the set  $\text{Int}(A_0 + A_1, A_0 \cap A_1)$ , i.e., when  $A_0$  or  $A_1$  is an interpolation space between sum  $A_0 + A_1$  and intersection  $A_0 \cap A_1$  (see also [12]). Now, we consider the problem when  $A_0$  or  $A_1$  belongs to a bigger set  $\text{Int}((A_0, A_1), (A_1, A_0))$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1), \bar{B} = (A_1, A_0)$  and suppose that  $A_0 \neq A_0 \cap A_1, A_1 \neq A_0 \cap A_1$ .*

- (a) *If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is a non-closed subspace in  $A_i$ , then  $A_{1-i} \notin \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  ( $i = 0$  or  $1$ ).*
- (b) *If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is closed in  $A_0$  but not in  $A_1$ , then  $A_1 \in \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  if and only if  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is dense in  $A_1$ .*
- (c) *If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is closed in both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ , then  $A_0, A_1 \notin \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ .*

*Proof.* (a) This is a particular case of Theorem 2.

(b) If  $A_0 \cap A_1$  is dense in  $A_1$  then we have

$$(A_0 + A_1)^0 = A_0^0 + A_1^0 = (A_0 \cap A_1) + A_1^0 = A_1.$$

Hence, if  $T \in L(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  then  $T$  is bounded from  $(A_0 + A_1)^0 = A_1$  into itself. On the other hand, if  $A_1 \in \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  then  $A_1 \subset \bar{A}_0^{A_0 + A_1}$  (if  $A_1 \not\subset \bar{A}_0^{A_0 + A_1}$  then by Proposition 2(a) we get  $A_1 \supset A_0$ ). Hence

$$A_1 \subset \bar{A}_0^{A_0 + A_1} \cap \bar{A}_1^{A_0 + A_1} = (A_0 + A_1)^0 = A_0^0 + A_1^0 = A_1^0,$$

i.e.,  $A_1 = A_1^0$ .

(c) Let  $A_0 \cap A_1$  be closed in both  $A_0, A_1$  and let  $A \in \text{Int}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ . Since  $\bar{A}_i^{A_0 + A_1} = A_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) we have four mutually exclusive possibilities for  $A$ : (i)  $A \subset A_0$  and  $A \subset A_1$ , (ii)  $A \subset A_0$  and  $A \not\subset A_1$ , (iii)  $A \not\subset A_0$  and  $A \subset A_1$ , (iv)  $A \not\subset A_0$  and  $A \not\subset A_1$ .

The first case gives  $A = A_0 \cap A_1$ . Proposition 2(a) implies that the second and third cases are impossible, and the fourth case has the form  $A \supset A_0$  and  $A \supset A_1$ , i.e.,  $A = A_0 + A_1$ . Hence, only  $A_0 \cap A_1$  and  $A_0 + A_1$  are interpolation spaces with respect to  $(A_0, A_1)$  and  $(A_1, A_0)$ .

4. RESULTS FOR SYMMETRIC SPACES

The necessary condition in Proposition 2(b) required the assumption of density of the intersection in each of the spaces. In the case of symmetric spaces (or even Banach lattices of measurable functions) it is possible to obtain a necessary condition for interpolation by taking associated spaces in the place of conjugate spaces.

A Banach space  $E$  of equivalence classes of measurable functions on  $I = (0, l)$ ,  $0 < l \leq \infty$ , is said to be a *symmetric space* (on  $I$ ) if  $y \in E$  and measurable  $x$  are such that  $x^*(t) \leq y^*(t)$  for  $t \in I$ , then  $x \in E$  and  $\|x\|_E \leq \|y\|_E$  (cf. [9]). Here  $x^*$  denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of  $|x|$ .

The associate space  $E'$  of a symmetric space  $E$  is the collection of all measurable functions  $x$  for which

$$\|x\|_{E'} = \sup_{\|y\|_E \leq 1} \int_I |x(t) y(t)| dt < \infty.$$

The fundamental function  $\varphi = \varphi_E$  of a symmetric space  $E$  on  $I$  is defined for  $t \in I$  as  $\varphi_E(t) = \|1_{(0,t)}\|_E$ , where  $1_{(0,t)}$  is the characteristic function of the interval  $(0, t)$ .

First we describe a necessary condition for the interpolation of symmetric spaces. Namely, if  $(E, F) \in \text{Int}(\bar{E}, \bar{F})$  where  $\bar{E} = (E_0, E_1)$  and  $\bar{F} = (F_0, F_1)$ , then

$$\mu_F(t, F_0, F_1) \mu_{E'}(t, E'_1, E'_0) \leq Ct, \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{2'}$$

For the proof we consider the one-dimensional operator  $T: E_0 + E_1 \rightarrow F_0 \cap F_1$  defined by

$$Tx(t) = b(t) \int_I x(s) a(s) ds, \quad b \in F_0 \cap F_1, a \in E'_0 \cap E'_1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{E_i \rightarrow F_i} &= \|b\|_{F_i} \sup_{\|x\|_{E_i} \leq 1} \left| \int_I x(s) a(s) ds \right| \\ &= \|b\|_{F_i} \|a\|_{E'_i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|T\|_{E \rightarrow F} = \|b\|_F \|a\|_{E'}$ .

The interpolation property implies that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|_F \|a\|_{E'} &\leq C \max \{ \|b\|_{F_0} \|a\|_{E'_0}, \|b\|_{F_1} \|a\|_{E'_1} \}, \\ &\forall b \in F_0 \cap F_1, \forall a \in E'_0 \cap E'_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3'}$$

It can be proved that inequality (3') is equivalent to (2').

Now, we prove that condition (3') or the equivalent condition (2') gives more information than the well-known earlier (see [12, 13]) necessary condition for interpolation, i.e., condition (3') with  $a = 1_{(0,t)}$  and  $b = 1_{(0,s)}$ .

Let  $E_0, E_1$ , and  $E$  be symmetric spaces on  $I$  with the fundamental functions  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1$ , and  $\varphi$ , respectively.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $I = (0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $L_\infty \subset E \subset E_1$  and that either  $E$  coincides with  $E''$  or  $L_\infty$  is dense in  $E_1$ . If  $E \in \text{Int}(L_\infty, E_1)$  then one of the three conditions holds:*

$$E = L_\infty \quad \text{or} \quad E = E_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_1(t)} = \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi(0^+) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(t) = 0$ ; in the opposite case  $E = L_\infty$ . From (3') with  $a = 1_{(0,t)}$  we have

$$\|b\|_E \leq C \max \left\{ \varphi(t) \|b\|_{L_\infty}, \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_1(t)} \|b\|_{E_1} \right\}$$

for any  $b \in L_\infty$  and all  $t \in I$ . If  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (\varphi(t)/\varphi_1(t)) = C_4$  then from the above

$$\|b\|_E \leq CC_4 \|b\|_{E_1}, \quad \forall b \in L_\infty. \tag{8}$$

First, let  $E = E''$ . If  $x \in E_1$  then there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  of bounded functions such that  $0 \leq x_n \nearrow |x|$ . Since  $\|x_n\|_E \leq CC_4 \|x_n\|_{E_1} \leq CC_4 \|x\|_{E_1}$  we have by the Fatou property of  $E$  that  $x \in E$  and  $\|x\|_E = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_E \leq CC_4 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_{E_1} = CC_4 \|x\|_{E_1}$ . Hence  $E = E_1$ .

Second, if  $L_\infty$  is dense in  $E_1$  then inequality (8) holds for any  $b \in E_1$ . Hence  $E = E_1$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $I = (0, 1)$ . If  $1 \leq q < p < \infty$  then  $L_\infty \subset L_{pq} \subset L_p$  and  $L_{pq} \notin \text{Int}(L_\infty, L_p)$  (see [10, Ex. 1]). More generally, if  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < r \leq \infty$  then  $L_\infty \subset L_{pq} \subset L_{pr}$  and  $L_{pq} \notin \text{Int}(L_\infty, L_{pr})$ .*

Finally, using (3') the following theorem can be proved in the same way as Theorem 4.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $E_0, E_1$ , and  $E$  be symmetric spaces on  $I$  such that  $E_0 \subset E \subset E_1, E \neq E_1$ , and either  $E$  coincides with  $E''$  or  $E_0$  is dense in  $E_1$ . If  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_1(t)$  for  $t \in I$  and  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (\varphi(t)/\varphi_0(t)) = 0$  then  $E \notin \text{Int } \bar{E}$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *We consider the Lorentz spaces  $L_{pq}, L_{pr}$ , and  $L_{st}$  on  $I = (0, 1)$ . If  $1 \leq q < r$  and  $1 \leq p < s$  then  $L_{st} \subset L_{pq} \subset L_{pr}$  and  $L_{pq} \notin \text{Int}(L_{st}, L_{pr})$ .*

*Remark 1.* In Theorem 4 (and Theorem 5) assumptions that  $E$  coincides with  $E''$  or  $L_\infty$  is dense in  $E_1$  are important. Namely, if  $E_1$  is non-separable, different from  $L_\infty$ , and takes for  $E$  the closure of  $L_\infty$  in  $E_1$ , then  $E \in \text{Int}(L_\infty, E_1)$  and none of the three conditions in the assertion of Theorem 4 is satisfied.

### 5. FUNDAMENTAL FUNCTION $\mu$ FOR SYMMETRIC SPACES

Let  $E_0, E_1$ , and  $E$  be symmetric spaces on  $I$  with the fundamental functions  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1$ , and  $\varphi$ , respectively. Put  $\varphi_{10}(t) = \varphi_1(t)/\varphi_0(t)$ .

1. If  $E \in \text{Int } \bar{E}$  then taking  $a = 1_{(0,t)} \in E_0 \cap E_1$  in the definition of  $\mu_E$  and in (3') we obtain

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)} \leq \mu_E(\varphi_{10}(t), E_0, E_1) \leq C \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)}, \quad \forall t \in I. \tag{9}$$

If, moreover,  $I = \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $C = 1$ , and  $\varphi_{10}(\mathbb{R}_+) = \mathbb{R}_+$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_E(t) &= \sup_{s > 0} \mu_E(s) \min(1, t/s) \\ &= \sup_{s > 0} \mu_E(\varphi_{10}(s)) \min(1, t/\varphi_{10}(s)) \\ &= \sup_{s > 0} \frac{\varphi(s)}{\varphi_0(s)} \min(1, t/\varphi_{10}(s)) \\ &= \sup_{s > 0} \varphi(s) \min\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_0(s)}, \frac{t}{\varphi_1(s)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\mu_E(t, E_0, E_1) = \sup_{s > 0} \varphi(s) \min\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_0(s)}, \frac{t}{\varphi_1(s)}\right). \tag{10}$$

In a particular case,  $\mu_E(t, L_\infty, L_1) = \varphi(t)$ . Assumption  $\varphi_{10}(\mathbb{R}_+) = \mathbb{R}_+$  is essential in formula (10). Namely, if  $\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 = \varphi$  then the right-hand side in equality (10) is equal to  $\min(1, t)$  while the left-hand side can be equal to 1 as it was in Proposition 1(a).

In particular, if  $1 \leq p_0 < p < p_1 \leq \infty$  then from the M. Riesz interpolation theorem and the above

$$\mu_{L_p}(t, L_{p_0}, L_{p_1}) = t^{(1/p_0 - 1/p)/(1/p_0 - 1/p_1)}. \tag{10'}$$

2. Assumption  $E \in \text{Int } \bar{E}$  is essential in formula (10). Namely, if  $I = (0, \infty)$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{A(L_p + L_\infty)}(t, L_{p\infty}, L_\infty) \\ &\approx \|\min(s^{-1/p}, t)\|_{A(L_p + L_\infty)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \min(s^{-1/p}, t) \, ds \min(s^{1/p}, 1) = \int_0^1 \min(s^{-1/p}, t) \, ds^{1/p} \\ &= \begin{cases} t & \text{if } 0 < t \leq 1, \\ 1 + \ln t & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

However the right-hand side in equality (10) is equal to  $\min(1, t)$ .

3. For  $E_0, E_1$ , and  $E$  on  $I = (0, \infty)$  such that  $E_0 \cap E_1 \subset E$  let the following inequalities hold,

$$\left\| \frac{1_{(0,t)}}{\varphi_1} \right\|_E \leq C_1 \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_1(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \frac{1_{(t,\infty)}}{\varphi_0} \right\|_E \leq C_0 \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)} \quad (11)$$

for some  $C_0, C_1 > 0$  and all  $t > 0$ . Then

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)} \leq \mu_E(\varphi_{10}(t), E_0, E_1) \leq (C_0 + C_1) \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)}. \quad (12)$$

Namely, for any  $a \in E_0 \cap E_1$  such that  $\|a\|_{E_0} \leq 1$  and  $\|a\|_{E_1} \leq \varphi_{10}(t)$  we have  $a^*(s) \leq 1/\varphi_0(s)$  and  $a^*(s) \leq \varphi_{10}(t)/\varphi_1(s)$  a.e., and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_E &= \|a^*\|_E \leq \|a^* 1_{(0,t)}\|_E + \|a^* 1_{(t,\infty)}\|_E \\ &\leq \varphi_{10}(t) \left\| \frac{1_{(0,t)}}{\varphi_1} \right\|_E + \left\| \frac{1_{(t,\infty)}}{\varphi_0} \right\|_E \\ &\quad \text{[from assumption (11)]} \\ &\leq C_1 \varphi_{10}(t) \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_1(t)} + C_0 \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)} = (C_0 + C_1) \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\mu_E(\varphi_{10}(t)) \leq (C_0 + C_1) \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)}.$$

Assumptions of type (11) can be found in [11], where the  $K$ -functional for symmetric spaces is computed.

For example, if  $t^a \varphi(t)/\varphi_0(t)$  is a decreasing function for some  $a > 0$  then

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)} = \mu_E(1/\varphi_0(t), E_0, L_\infty) \leq 2(2 + 1/a) \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)}. \quad (12')$$

It is sufficient to prove inequalities (11). The first inequality with  $C_1 = 1$  is obvious; proof of the second inequality is the following (cf. [11]):

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-1} \left\| \frac{1_{(t, \infty)}}{\varphi_0} \right\|_E &\leq \left\| \frac{1_{(t, \infty)}}{\varphi_0} \right\|_{A(E)} = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1_{(t, \infty)}}{\varphi_0} \right)^* (s) d\varphi(s) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\varphi(s)}{\varphi_0(s+t)} \leq \int_0^t \frac{d\varphi(s)}{\varphi_0(t)} + \int_t^\infty \frac{d\varphi(s)}{\varphi_0(s)} \\ &\leq \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)} + \int_t^\infty \frac{\varphi(s)}{\varphi_0(s)} \frac{ds}{s} \leq \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)} + \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)} t^a \int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{1+a}} \\ &= (1 + 1/a) \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi_0(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (12') can also be obtained from the formula  $\mu_E(1/\varphi_0(t), E_0, L_\infty) \approx \sup_{\|a\|_{E_0} \leq 1} \|a * 1_{(t, \infty)}\|_E$  which was proved in [3, Th. 7] in connection with the Nikolski type inequality.

4. If  $I = (0, 1)$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{L_{pq}}(t, L_{p\infty}, L_\infty) &\approx \|\min(s^{-1/p}, t)\|_{L_{pq}} \\ &\approx \left( \frac{q}{p} \int_0^1 [s^{1/p} \min(s^{-1/p}, t)]^q \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \begin{cases} t & \text{if } 0 < t \leq 1, \\ (1 + q \ln t)^{1/q} & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It would be of interest to compute  $\mu_{L_{pq}}(t, L_p, L_\infty)$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $I = (0, 1)$ . If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 < q \leq \infty$  then  $L_{p1} \subset L_{pq} \subset L_1$  and  $L_{pq} \notin \text{Int}(L_{p1}, L_1)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $L_{pq} \in \text{Int}(L_{p1}, L_1)$ . Then by (2') the function

$$f(t) = \mu_{L_{pq}}(t, L_{p1}, L_1) \mu_{L_{p'q'}}(t, L_\infty, L_{p'\infty})/t$$

is bounded. However, if  $0 < t \leq 1$  then by (9) we have  $1 \leq \mu_{L_{pq}}(t, L_{p1}, L_1) \leq C$  and by the above  $\mu_{L_{p'q'}}(t, L_\infty, L_{p'\infty}) = t \mu_{L_{p'q'}}(t^{-1}, L_{p'\infty}, L_\infty) \approx t(1 + q' \ln \frac{1}{t})^{1/q'}$ . Hence  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t) = \infty$ , i.e.,  $f$  is unbounded and we have a contradiction.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. ARONSZAJN AND E. GAGLIARDO, Interpolation spaces and interpolation methods, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **68** (1965), 51–118.

2. J. BERGH AND J. LÖFSTRÖM, "Interpolation spaces. An introduction," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1976.
3. M. Z. BERKOLAIKO AND V. I. OVCHINNIKOV, Inequalities for entire function of exponential type in symmetric spaces, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* **161** (1983), 3–17. [Russian]
4. A. P. CALDERÓN, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, *Studia Math.* **24** (1964), 113–190.
5. M. CWIKEL, Monotonicity properties of interpolation spaces, *Ark. Mat.* **14** (1976), 213–236.
6. A. A. DMITRIEV, On the interpolation of one-dimensional operators, *Voronež. Gos. Univ. Trudy Naučno-Issled. Inst. Math. VGU* **11** (1973), 31–43. [Russian]
7. S. JANSON, Minimal and maximal methods of interpolation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **44** (1981), 50–73.
8. S. JANSON, P. NILSSON, AND J. PEETRE, Notes on Wolff's note on interpolation spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **48** (1984), 283–299.
9. S. G. KREIN, JU. I. PETUNIN, AND E. M. SEMENOV, Interpolation of linear operators, Providence, K. I, 1982 (Russian edition, Moscow, 1978).
10. L. MALIGRANDA, Interpolation of Lipschitz operators for the pairs of spaces  $(L^p, L^\infty)$  and  $(l^p, c_0)$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ , *Functions et Approximatio* **9** (1980), 107–115.
11. L. MALIGRANDA, The  $K$ -functional for symmetric spaces, in "Interpolation Spaces and Allied Topics in Analysis (Proc. Conf. in Lund, Aug. 29–Sept. 1, 1983)," Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1070, pp. 169–182, 1984.
12. L. MALIGRANDA, Interpolation between sum and intersection of Banach spaces, *J. Approx. Theory* **47** (1986), 42–53.
13. E. I. PUSTYL'NIK, On optimal interpolation and some interpolation properties of Orlicz spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **269** (1983), 292–295. [Russian].
14. J. STAFNEY, Analytic interpolation of certain multiplier spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **32** (1970), 241–248.
15. H. TRIEBEL, "Interpolation theory. Function spaces. Differential operators," Deut. Verlag Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978.